

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/08
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): College Station

State: TX

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$8,162,221

PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1994

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | | PJs in State: 38 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 91.99 % | 92.97 % | 21 | 94.94 % | 28 | 26 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 79.51 % | 82.82 % | 26 | 84.35 % | 25 | 22 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 15.71 | 3.89 | 1 | 4.59 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 81.38 % | 1 | 81.38 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 49.20 % | 57.46 % | 26 | 68.05 % | 21 | 19 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 100.00 % | 70.67 % | 1 | 79.65 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 33.33 % | 37.06 % | 22 | 44.76 % | 31 | 30 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 96.07 % | 1 | 94.31 % | 100 | 100 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 15 / 38 | Nationally: | 53 53 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$94,640 | \$13,899 | | \$25,245 | 6 Units | 0.90 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$17,236 | \$9,312 | | \$14,395 | 125 Units | 19.40 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$47,550 | \$29,885 | | \$20,186 | 50 Units | 7.80 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$230 | \$3,715 | | \$3,142 | 463 Units | 71.90 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (297 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): College Station TX

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$94,870 | \$47,522 | \$52,546 |
| State:* | \$49,529 | \$58,417 | \$32,549 |
| National:** | \$88,539 | \$71,594 | \$22,853 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 2.5 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.74

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| White: | 83.3 | 71.5 | 24.0 | 30.7 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 9.8 | 68.0 | 51.8 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.7 |

ETHNICITY:

| | | | | |
|----------|--|--|---|--|
| Hispanic | 16.7 | 12.2 | 8.0 | 12.7 |
|----------|--|--|---|--|

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 Person: | 100.0 | 22.0 | 42.0 | 37.8 |
| 2 Persons: | 0.0 | 20.3 | 24.0 | 18.4 |
| 3 Persons: | 0.0 | 30.1 | 22.0 | 19.2 |
| 4 Persons: | 0.0 | 17.1 | 6.0 | 14.9 |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 6.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 3.5 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Single/Non-Elderly: | 0.0 | 27.6 | 16.0 | 59.2 |
| Elderly: | 100.0 | 2.4 | 38.0 | 1.7 |
| Related/Single Parent: | 0.0 | 13.8 | 24.0 | 28.7 |
| Related/Two Parent: | 0.0 | 54.5 | 20.0 | 8.4 |
| Other: | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

| | | |
|----------------|---|--|
| Section 8: | 100.0 | 0.8 [#] |
| HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | |
| Other: | 0.0 | |
| No Assistance: | 0.0 | |

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 5

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



**HOME PROGRAM
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): College Station

State: TX

Group Rank: 53
(Percentile)

State Rank: 15 / 38 PJs

Overall Rank: 53
(Percentile)

Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.00% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.30% | 49.2 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 100 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 89.50% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.060 | 2.49 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

